



BIOETHICS FORUM ESSAY

Chinese Bioethicists: Silencing Doctor Impeded Early Control of Coronavirus

by [Ruipeng Lei](#) and [Renzong Qiu](#)

Subscribe to Forum Updates

| Share



Published February 13, 2020

Posted in Global Health, Hastings Bioethics Forum

The death of Dr Li Wenliang from COVID-19 is heartbreaking for our country

Chinese Bioethicists' Silence: Doctor Impeded Early Control of Coronavirus | The Hastings Center
#: 4876
think that it is a good time for our country to reflect on those deficiencies and consider reforms.

Dr. Li was an ophthalmologist working at a hospital affiliated with Wuhan University. On December 30, 2019, when the Wuhan Health Commission issued an urgent notice on the treatment of pneumonia with unknown causes, Dr. Li treated a patient with an eye disease who had symptoms that suggested pneumonia. About at 5 pm that day, he sent a WeChat message to a group of his school classmates saying that “7 cases of SARS have been confirmed who have been at South China Seafood and Fruits Market, and now are isolated at Emergency Department in the Post Lake Wards of our hospital.” He added, “The latest news is that coronavirus infection has been confirmed and virus typing is under way” and “Don’t spread the word outside our group. Let your family and loved ones take precautions.” A screenshot of the group chat was uploaded to a public website and spread. This is how Dr. Li became the epidemic’s whistleblower.

On January 3 Dr. Li was detained and questioned by the local police at the Wuhan Municipal Bureau for Public Security. The details of the conversation were not disclosed, but the result was a reprimand for an “unlawful act,” which is that he “made untrue remarks about 7 confirmed cases of SARS at South China Fruits and Seafood Market.”

The police then required Dr. Li to agree to two statements. The first one was: “Public police agency hopes you will actively cooperate with our work, listen to the admonition made by civil police, and end your unlawful act. Can you do it?” Dr. Li answered, “Can.” The second statement was: “If you are unrepentant, and continue your unlawful act, you will be punished by law. Do you understand?” Dr. Li replied, “Understand.” Then he signed his name. Seven of Dr. Li’s classmates also signed the Form of Reprimand.

The Form of Reprimand did not specify which article in China’s constitution was violated by Dr. Li and his schoolmates. We searched the constitution and couldn’t find any article that applies. The police act to admonish them.

Chinese Bioethicists Silencing Doctor Impeded Early Control of Coronavirus. The Hastings Center #4877

China's constitution states that citizens have "freedom of speech" (Article 35). WeChat, the Chinese multipurpose messaging, social media, and mobile payment app with about 1 billion users in mainland China, enables Chinese citizens to enjoy the right of expression. However, this right is limited. Some limitations can be ethically justified. For instance, we just had a meeting with law experts to call the legislature to ban the videos that show abuse of pets. Other limitations on citizens' speech include pornography; expression or images of violence; racial, gender, or other prejudices; and techniques for committing suicide or murder. However, it is not very clear what should be censored and what should not be censored. For example, cyber police usually use the reason of maintaining social stability to suppress individual right of expression. The police report on Dr. Li stated, "Your behavior severely disrupts social order." But it is not clear which expressions undermine social stability.

Dr. Li's group chat concerned a professional issue, how to control a possible epidemic. The content of the chat would have provided very important information to hospitals, public health officers, and China's center for disease control for controlling the epidemic. In an interview with a journalist Dr. Li talked about his motivation for issuing his message: since most of his classmates were also clinicians, he want to remind them to take precautions.

After the admonition by police Dr. Li kept working in clinical practice and, unfortunately, became infected with the virus and died. Dr. Li is a martyr in the fight against the COVID-19 epidemic. The infringement on his right of expression is both unethical and unlawful, and it impeded early control of the epidemic. Now with the approval of the central government, the National Supervisory Commission, an agency that investigates unlawful acts of governmental officials and judiciary officers, has sent an investigation team to Wuhan to conduct a comprehensive investigation into the issues that the public has raised concerning Dr. Li. We wait for the results of their investigation.

Ruipeng Lei, a Hastings Center Fellow, is Professor and Executive Director Center for Bioethics Huazhong University of Science & Technology. Renzong Qiu, a Hastings Center Fellow is Professor and Director Institute of Bioethics, Center for Ethics & Moral

Ethics Resources page:

<https://www.thehastingscenter.org/ethics-resources-on-the-coronavirus/>

Subscribe to Forum Updates

| Share



Tagged Coronavirus, COVID-19, Dr. Li Wenliang

Read More Like This ▾

Hastings Bioethics Forum essays are the opinions of the authors, not of The Hastings Center.

3 Comments on

“Chinese Bioethicists: Silencing Doctor Impeded Early Control of Coronavirus.”

Join the conversation.

RECENT CONTENT

BIOETHICS FORUM ESSAY

BIOETHICS FORUM ESSAY

Ending Unequal
Treatment Requirements

BIOETHICS FORUM ESSAY

BIOETHICS FORUM ESSAY

Should an Incarcerated Patient Get an Advanced Heart Therapy?

BIOETHICS FORUM ESSAY

Bioethicists Should Speak Up Against Facilitated Communication

BIOETHICS FORUM ESSAY

Was This Job Market Study Ethical?

BIOETHICS FORUM ESSAY

National Research Act at 50: An Ethics Landmark in Need of an Update

BIOETHICS FORUM ESSAY

Clinical Ethics and a President's Capacity: Balancing Privacy and Public Interest

BIOETHICS FORUM ESSAY

Access to Pediatric Assistive Technology: A Moral Test

BIOETHICS FORUM ESSAY

Griefbots Are Here, Raising Questions of Privacy and Well-being

BIOETHICS FORUM ESSAY

Finding the Signal in the Noise on Pediatric Gender-Affirming Care

BIOETHICS FORUM ESSAY

Caring for Patients in

The opinions expressed here are those of the authors, not The Hastings Center.

WHO WE ARE

[Mission](#) [Team](#) [What Is Bioethics?](#) [Financials](#)
[Newsletter](#) [For the Media](#) [Hastings Center News](#)
[Diversity, Equity, & Inclusion](#)

WHAT WE DO



[Research](#) [*Hastings Center Report*](#) [Events](#)
[Focus Areas](#) [*Ethics & Human Research*](#) [Webinars](#)
[Bioethics Careers & Education](#)
[*Hastings Bioethics Forum*](#)

Registered 501(c)
(3).
EIN: 13-2662222

[FAQs on Human Genomics](#) [Bioethics Briefings](#)
[Books by Hastings Scholars](#) [Special Reports](#)

SUPPORT US

[Ways To Give](#) [Why We Give](#) [Gift Planning](#)
[Contact Us](#)